



## UNITED STATES PARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/018	,104 02/	03/98 HOBART	J PHAN=0010
			EXAMINER
		OM2271025	

THOMAS B HAVERSTOCK HAVERSTOCK & OWENS 260 SHERIDAN AVENUE SUITE 420 PALO ALTO CA 94306

EXA	MINER			
SHAY.D				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
3739	, 15			
C MAUCO				

10/25/00

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## **ADVISORY ACTION**

	HE PERI	OD FOR RESPONSE:				
a) [	is exte	ended to run	or continues to run	from the date of the final rejection		
b) [	expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
	The d purpo	ate on which the response, the ses of determining the period	ne petition, and the fee have been fi of extension and the corresponding	R 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. led is the date of the response and also the date for the amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR utory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
□ A	ppellant	's Brief is due in accordance	with 37 CFR 1.192(a).	-		
I A	pplicant's	s response to the final rejectine application in condition for	on, filed <u>October 2, 2000</u> has be allowance:	en considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed		
1.	The pr	oposed amendments to the o	laim and /or specification will not be	entered and the final rejection stands because:		
		There is no convincing showing presented.	ng under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the p	proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier		
	b. 🔲	They raise new issues that w	ould require further consideration an	d/or search. (See Note).		
	с. 🗌	They raise the issue of new i	natter. (See Note).			
	d. 🗗	They are not deemed to pla appeal.	ce the application in better form for a	ppeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for		
•	е. 🗌	They present additional claim	ns without cancelling a correspondin	g number of finally rejected claims.		
	NOTE	:	•			
2. [		proposed or amended claim n-allowable claims.	s would be allo	wed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling		
3.	Upon be as	the filing an appeal, the propositions:	osed amendment  will be entered	will not be entered and the status of the claims will		
	Claims	s allowed:		<u>.</u>		
	Claims	s objected to:				
	Claims	s rejected:	•			
		However;				
	☐ A	pplicant's response has over	come the following rejection(s):			
4. 🗌	The at	ffidavit, exhibit or request for	reconsideration has been considered	but does not overcome the rejection because		
5. 🗀	The af		ensidered because applicant has not	shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier		
☐ The	e propos	ed drawing correction 🔲 🕴	as  has not been approved by	the examiner.		
Ot	her			DANID M. CHAV		

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 380 **Best Available Copy** 

PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89)